

# SDG indicator metadata

(Harmonized metadata template - format version 1.1)

## 0. Indicator information (SDG\_INDICATOR\_INFO)

### 0.a. Goal (SDG\_GOAL)

Goal 6: Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all

### 0.b. Target (SDG\_TARGET)

Target 6.6: By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes

### 0.c. Indicator (SDG\_INDICATOR)

Indicator 6.6.1: Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time

### 0.d. Series (SDG\_SERIES\_DESCR)

EN\_WBE\_NDQTGRW - Nationally derived quantity of groundwater (millions of cubic metres per annum) [6.6.1]

EN\_WBE\_NDQTRVR - Nationally derived quantity of rivers (million of cubic metres per annum) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKRV\_PWAC - Lakes and rivers permanent water area change (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKRV\_PWAN - Lakes and rivers permanent water area (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKRV\_PWAP - Lakes and rivers permanent water area (% of total land area) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKRV\_SWAC - Lakes and rivers seasonal water area change (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKRV\_SWAN - Lakes and rivers seasonal water area (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKRV\_SWAP - Lakes and rivers seasonal water area (% of total land area) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKW\_QLTRB - Lake water quality turbidity (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_LKW\_QLTRST - Lake water quality trophic state (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_RSRV\_MNWAN - Reservoir minimum water area (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_RSRV\_MNWAP - Reservoir minimum water area (% of total land area) [6.6.1]

EN\_RSRV\_MXWAN - Reservoir maximum water area (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_RSRV\_MXWAP - Reservoir maximum water area (% of total land area) [6.6.1]

EN\_WBE\_MANGC - Mangrove total area change (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_WBE\_MANGN - Mangrove area (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_WBE\_WTLN - Wetlands area (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_WBE\_WTLP - Wetlands area (% of total land area) [6.6.1]

EN\_RSRV\_MNWAC - Reservoir minimum water area change (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_RVR\_MXRVFLC - Change in maximum river flow (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_RVR\_MNRVFLC - Change in minimum river flow (%) [6.6.1]

EN\_RVR\_MXRVFLN - River flow maximum (m3/s) [6.6.1]

EN\_RVR\_MNRVFLN - River flow minimum (m3/s) [6.6.1]

### 0.e. Metadata update (META\_LAST\_UPDATE)

2025-06-11

**0.f. Related indicators** (SDG\_RELATED\_INDICATORS)

6.3.2, 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 6.5.1, 6.5.2, 15.3.1

**0.g. International organisations(s) responsible for global monitoring**

(SDG\_CUSTODIAN\_AGENCIES)

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

**1. Data reporter** (CONTACT)**1.a. Organisation** (CONTACT\_ORGANISATION)

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

**2. Definition, concepts, and classifications** (IND\_DEF\_CON\_CLASS)**2.a. Definition and concepts** (STAT\_CONC\_DEF)**Definition:**

SDG indicator 6.6.1 tracks the extent to which different types of water-related ecosystems are changing in extent over time. The indicator is multifaceted capturing data on different types of freshwater ecosystems and to measure extent change the indicator considers spatial area changes, water quality and water quantity changes. The indicator uses satellite-based Earth observations to globally monitor different freshwater ecosystems types. Earth observation data series on surface area are available on permanent water, seasonal water, reservoirs, wetlands, mangroves; as well as generating data on water quality, using trophic state and turbidity of water bodies. Satellite images can be represented as numerical data, which in turn are aggregated into meaningful statistics of ecosystem change attributed to administrative areas such as national, sub-national (e.g. regions and provinces) and river basin boundaries. Global data products for river flows and groundwater level have not yet been produced at useful spatial and temporal resolutions to be incorporated into this SDG 6.6.1 methodology. Currently, these data should continue to be provided from modelling or from ground-based measurements and required from the countries.

*Table 1: SDG indicator 6.6.1 data derived from Earth observations*

<b>Ecosystem</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Features</b>
<b>Lakes &amp; Rivers (permanent water area)</b>	surface area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>annual information on <b>permanent water area</b> (2000-present)</li> <li>statistics for changes in the areal extent of permanent water (2000-present)</li> <li>statistics aggregated at national and sub-national basin scales</li> </ul>
<b>Lakes &amp; Rivers (seasonal water area)</b>	surface area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>annual information on <b>seasonal water area</b> (2000-present)</li> </ul>

<b>Ecosystem</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Features</b>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• statistics for changes in the areal extent of seasonal water (2000-present)</li> <li>• annual seasonality statistics for periods: 0-1, 3-6, 7-11 months</li> <li>• statistics aggregated at national and sub-national basin scales</li> </ul>
<b>Reservoirs</b>	surface area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• annual information on reservoir minimum and maximum surface area (1984-present)</li> <li>• statistics for changes in minimum area (2000-present)</li> <li>• statistics aggregated at national and sub-national basin scales</li> </ul>
<b>Mangroves</b>	surface area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• near annual information on mangrove area (2000-present)</li> <li>• Statistics on changes in mangrove area (2000-present)</li> <li>• statistics aggregated at national scales</li> </ul>
<b>Wetlands</b>	surface area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• wetlands area (baseline area comprised of data btw 2016-2018)</li> <li>• statistics aggregated at national and sub-national basin scales</li> <li>• wetlands area changes to be included in 2025/26</li> </ul>
<b>Lakes &amp; Reservoirs</b>	water quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly, annual and multi-annual measurements of trophic state and turbidity for 4,200 lakes</li> </ul>

<b>Ecosystem</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Features</b>
		and reservoirs globally (at 300m resolution)

*Table 2: SDG indicator 6.6.1 data derived from models and/or national in-situ measurements*

<b>Ecosystem</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Features</b>
<b>Rivers</b>	flow	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annually modelled stream flow (2000-present)</li> <li>• Statistics for changes in minimum and maximum stream flow (2000-present)</li> <li>• Statistics aggregated at national and sub-national basin scales</li> </ul>
<b>Groundwater</b>	level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes to volume measurements, over time, of all major groundwater aquifers</li> </ul>

### Concepts:

The concepts and definitions used in the methodology have been based on existing international frameworks and glossaries unless indicated otherwise below.

**Water-related ecosystems** are a sub-set of all ecosystems. They contain the world’s freshwater resources and can be defined as “a dynamic complex of plant, animal, and micro-organism communities and the non-living environment dominated by the presence of flowing or still water, interacting as a functional unit.” (MEA, 2005; Dickens et al, 2019). The indicator is framed around the monitoring of different types of water-related ecosystems including lakes, rivers, wetlands, groundwater and artificial waterbodies such as reservoirs. These water-related ecosystems contain freshwater, except for mangroves which contain brackish water (i.e. a combination of fresh and saltwater), however, mangroves are still included within indicator 6.6.1. Reservoirs are also included as a category of water-related ecosystem within the indicator methodology; while it is recognized that reservoirs are not traditional water ecosystems which should necessarily warrant protection and restoration, in many countries they hold a noteworthy amount of freshwater and have thus been included. By including data on reservoirs, it is intended that countries can better understand changes occurring to artificial water bodies in conjunction with changes occurring to natural water bodies. Ecosystems that are not included under indicator 6.6.1 are: coral reefs and sea grass which are covered within Goal 14 (Oceans); and mountains, forests, and drylands which are covered within Goal 15 (Land). The extent to which each of the water-related ecosystems included under indicator 6.6.1 can be measured, uses one or more of the following physical parameters of change: spatial area, quantity (or volume) of water, and water quality. The full monitoring methodology for indicator 6.6.1 is available here. The extent to which each of the water-related ecosystems included under indicator 6.6.1 can be measured, uses one or more of the following physical parameters of change: spatial area, quantity (or volume) of water, and water quality.

**Permanent and seasonal water.** A permanent water surface is underwater throughout the year whilst a seasonal water surface is underwater for less than 12 months of the year. Some locations don’t have observations for all 12 months of the year (for reasons such as polar night). In these cases, water is considered as seasonal if the number of months where water is present is less than the number of months where valid observations were acquired.

A second consideration is lakes and rivers that freeze for part of the year. During the frozen period water is still present under the ice (true both for rivers/lakes and the sea). If water is present throughout the observation period (i.e. unfrozen period), the water body is considered as a permanent water surface. If the area of the water body contracts during the unfrozen period, then the pixels along the borders of the lake or river are no longer water, and those pixels will be considered as a seasonal water surface.

**Reservoirs** are artificial (or human-made) bodies of freshwater, as opposed to lakes which are naturally occurring. The reservoirs dataset represents surface area data on artificial water bodies including reservoirs formed by dams, flooded areas such as opencast mines and quarries, flood irrigation areas, and water bodies created by hydro-engineering projects such as waterway and harbour construction.

**Inland vegetated wetlands** include areas of marshes, peatlands, swamps, bogs and fens, the vegetated parts of floodplains as well as rice paddies and flood recession agriculture. Inland vegetated wetlands do not include coastal mangroves. Data on mangroves which are produced separately to inland wetlands. This SDG indicator methodology is used for official reporting of SDG indicator 6.6.1 statistics. The SDG indicator 6.6.1 methodology does not apply the definition of wetlands defined by the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, which is: “areas of marsh, fen, peatland or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six meters”. The Ramsar definition of wetlands may be interpreted to mean all water within a country including the marine environment. The SDG indicator 6.6.1 definition refers to only a specific group of inland vegetated wetlands typologies.

**Turbidity** is an indicator of water clarity, quantifying the haziness of the water and acting as an indicator of underwater light availability.

**Trophic State** refers to the degree at which organic matter accumulates in the water body and is most commonly used in relation to monitoring eutrophication.

**Surface Water** refers to any area of surface water unobstructed by aquatic vegetation. This includes the following 3 water-related ecosystem categories: rivers and estuaries, lakes, and artificial waterbodies.

**Extent** – has been expanded beyond spatial extent to capture additional basic parameters needed for the protection and restoration of water-related ecosystems. Extent includes three components: the spatial extent or surface area, the quality, and the quantity of water-related ecosystems.

**Change** means a shift from one condition of extent to another over time within a water-related ecosystem, measured against a point of reference.

## 2.b. Unit of measure (UNIT\_MEASURE)

---

Change in the spatial area/extent of freshwater: KM2, Percent (%)

Change in quality of freshwater: Percent (%)

Change in the quantity of freshwater: millions of cubic metres per annum

## 2.c. Classifications (CLASS\_SYSTEM)

---

- Standard Country or Area Codes for Statistical Use (UN M49 classification of countries and regions)

### 3. Data source type and data collection method (SRC\_TYPE\_COLL\_METHOD)

#### 3.a. Data sources (SOURCE\_TYPE)

---

Surface water area data at a 30 m resolution, has been generated for the entire globe from 2000-2021 by analysing the full archive of Landsat 5, 7 and 8 satellite imagery. Additional datasets are used refine open water spatial area data, including the Global Reservoir and Dam (GRanD) geospatial database. To generate spatial area of vegetated wetlands, a combination of imagery from Landsat 8 and Sentinel 1 and 2 are used while the Global Mangrove Watch data is derived from JAXA ALOS satellites and Landsat to generate mangrove extent. Water quality i.e., lake water trophic state and TSS observations are based on Envisat MERIS (2006-2010) and Sentinel-3 OLCI (2017-2020) respectively.

The recommended source of data for monitoring stream flow and groundwater quantity is from national in-situ measurements of groundwater level within aquifers and stream flow quantity. However globally derived hydrological modelled data is also available and is initially used to measure stream flow as part of SDG indicator 6.6.1 replacing the need for In-situ stream flow measurements to be collected.

#### 3.b. Data collection method (COLL\_METHOD)

---

Each sub-indicator (including permanent lakes and river area; seasonal lakes and river area; reservoir minimum and maximum area and water quality; inland wetlands area; mangroves area; lake water quality) is computed separately and thus SDG indicator 6.6.1 is undertaking several sub-indicator specific computational methods. Globally derived data using spatial area measurements are computed in a comparable and consistent manner across the different ecosystem types e.g., surface water, wetland, mangroves. Globally / nationally derived data on water quality is computed using the parameters of turbidity and trophic state to infer a measure of water quality. Modelled and/or national data on quantity of water in ecosystems is used to measure stream flow and groundwater volumes.

#### 3.c. Data collection calendar (FREQ\_COLL)

---

##### **Data collection:**

Annual estimation of globally derived satellite-based data released around May each year and uploaded onto the SDG 661 data portal [www.sdg661.app](http://www.sdg661.app). Every three/four years data is communicated to national focal points for validation.

#### 3.d. Data release calendar (REL\_CAL\_POLICY)

---

First reporting cycle: June 2018; Second reporting cycle: June 2020; Third reporting cycle: June 2023.

#### 3.e. Data providers (DATA\_SOURCE)

---

1. Data on Permanent Water, Seasonal Water, and Reservoir Water - European Commission Joint Research Centre – Global Surface Water Explorer
2. Data on Water Turbidity and Trophic State - European Copernicus Land Service products
3. Data on Mangroves - Global Mangrove Watch
4. Data on Wetlands - DHI A/S
5. Data on river flow – DHI A/S
6. Data on groundwater – national institutions

### 3.f. Data compilers (COMPILING\_ORG)

---

1. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

### 3.g. Institutional mandate (INST\_MANDATE)

---

UNEP was awarded the mandate of custodian agency for SDG indicator 6.6.1 by the Inter-agency and Expert Group on SDG Indicators. In its capacity as custodian, UNEP are responsible for the development of the internationally comparable monitoring methodology and metadata, with national data, and regional and global aggregations reported to the SDG global data base and these statistics included in the Secretary Generals SDG progress reports.

## 4. Other methodological considerations (OTHER\_METHOD)

### 4.a. Rationale (RATIONALE)

---

Target 6.6 aims to “protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes” through SDG indicator 6.6.1 which aims to understand how and why these ecosystems are changing in extent over time. All of the different components of SDG indicator 6.6.1 are important to form a comprehensive picture that enables informed decisions towards the protection and restoration of water-related ecosystems. However, a lack of data within countries to support Indicator 6.6.1 has become clear through the 2017 pilot testing and thus a combination of national data and data based on satellite images is proposed. All data generated is processed using internationally recognized methodologies, with results assessed and approved by countries, resulting in high quality global datasets with extensive spatial and temporal scale.

### 4.b. Comment and limitations (REC\_USE\_LIM)

---

To support countries in fulfilling monitoring and reporting requirements for SDG indicator 6.6.1, UNEP has worked with partner organisations to develop technically robust and internationally comparable global data series, thereby significantly contributing towards filling the global data gap on measuring changes in the extent of water-related ecosystems. The indicator methodology mobilizes the collection of available earth observation data on spatial area and water quality parameters. At the 7th IAEG-SDG meeting in April 2018 the indicator methodology was approved and classified as Tier II. Shortly afterwards, in November 2018, it was reclassified to a Tier I indicator methodology. The Tier I classification means that the indicator is conceptually clear, has an internationally established methodology and standards are available, and data are regularly produced by at least 50 per cent of countries and of the population in every region where the indicator is relevant. The full SDG indicator 6.6.1 monitoring methodology details specific limitations associated with the production of data for the different ecosystem types relevant to SDG indicator 6.6.1, including links to publications pertaining to the data production methodologies.

SDG indicator 6.6.1 is designed to enable countries to understand the protection and restoration status of different types of water-related ecosystem (e.g. lakes, rivers, reservoirs, wetlands, mangroves). It does not measure how many water-related ecosystems have been protected and restored. It is assumed that countries use the available data to actively make decisions, but these actions are not currently being measured. The data generated should be considered alongside other data, in particular land use change and demographic data, to better enable countries to understand the drivers of ecosystem change and put in place appropriate policy and legislative mechanisms that result in the protection and restoration of

water-related ecosystems. In a few locations it has been observed that some large lakes are incorrectly identified as reservoirs. UNEP will continue to work on this issue.

UNEP periodically invites national contact persons to participate in consultations with the aim to validate estimated national values.

#### 4.c. Method of computation (DATA\_COMP)

---

The computation methods is presented below for each of the seven sub-indicators: (1) Permanent and Seasonal Surface Water; (2) Reservoirs; (3) Wetlands; (4) Mangroves; (5) Water Quality: Turbidity and Trophic state; (6) River Flow; (7) Groundwater; as well as for the computation of a (8) national summary score based on the integration of all sub-indicators.

##### 1. Permanent and Seasonal Surface Water

###### **Description of the method used to globally map all surface water:**

Data on the spatial and temporal dynamics of naturally occurring surface water has been generated for the entire globe. A Global Surface Water dataset (Pekel et al., 2016) has been produced by the European Commission's Joint Research Centre. The dataset documents different facets of the long term (since 1984 onward) water dynamics at 30x30 meter pixel resolution. The dataset documents permanent and seasonal surface water surfaces. All naturally occurring surface water larger in area than 30x30 meters has been mapped and at this 30-meter grid/pixel spatial resolution satellite imagery is predominantly capturing areas of lakes and wide rivers. The data include land areas that are temporarily inundated such as wetlands and paddy fields. Smaller rivers and waterbodies are not captured as they are too narrow to detect or are masked by forest canopy. The data include individual full-resolution images acquired by the Landsat 5, 7 and 8 satellites. These satellites capture images which are distributed publicly by the United States Geological Survey. Together they provide multispectral imagery at 30x30 meter resolution in six visible, near and shortwave infrared channels, plus thermal imagery at 60x60 meters.

The data includes land surfaces that are under water (e.g. a permanent water area) for all twelve months of a year. It also accounts for seasonal and climactic fluctuations of water, meaning lakes and rivers which freeze for part of the year are captured. Areas of permanent ice, such as glaciers and ice caps as well as permanently snow-covered land areas are not included. Areas of consistent cloud cover inhibit the observation of water surfaces in some areas and in these limited locations optical observations may not be available. A global shoreline mask has been applied to the data to prevent ocean water being included in the freshwater statistics and the methodology for this shoreline mask is published in the journal of operational oceanography (Sayer et al. 2019).

The surface water maps are derived from the analysis of over four million images collected over 36 years which have been individually processed using an expert system classifier. The accuracy of the Global Surface Water map was determined using over 40,000 control points from around the world and across the 36 years. The full validation methodology and results have been published in the scientific journal Nature (cf. Pekel et al., 2016). The validation results show that the water detection expert system produced less than 1% of false water detections, and that less than 5% of water surfaces were missed.

In addition to reporting the temporal changes in the permanent and seasonal water area the SDG 6.6.1 data portal ([www.sdg661.app](http://www.sdg661.app)) also documents various water transitions relating to permanent and

seasonal surface water - these are changes in water state between two points in time. Data is available for various transitions including new permanent water surfaces (i.e., conversion of a no water place into a permanent water place.); lost permanent water surfaces (i.e., conversion of a permanent water place into a no water place) as well as new and lost seasonal water. These allow monthly water presence or absence data to be captured. It is possible to identify specific months/years in which conditions changed, e.g., the date of filing of a new dam, or the month/year in which a lake disappeared. In addition, data on seasonality are provided, capturing changes resulting from intra and inter-annual variability or resulting from appearance or disappearance of seasonal or permanent water surfaces. The data separates 'permanent' water bodies (those that are present throughout the period of observation) [nominally a year] from 'seasonal' (those that are present for only part of the year).

#### **Calculating the change in surface area of permanent and seasonal surface water:**

Data on monthly surface water dynamics are available for a 38-year period, from 1984-onward. Every year new annual data for seasonal and permanent water extent is produced and added to this time series. The SDG indicator 6.6.1. was developed to measure changes in freshwater ecosystems between 2000 and 2030. Since freshwater ecosystems (including surface-water bodies) are dynamic, a long time series of annual data is needed to identify changes that depart significantly from the longer-term mean. Changes in surface-water bodies are therefore measured in five-year intervals relative to a 20-year reference period (2000-2019) and based on the annual aggregation of monthly water occurrence maps derived from a time series of Landsat data. Mathematically the change of spatial extent of permanent and seasonal waters is calculated using equation 1:

$$\text{Equation 1: } \Delta = \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\beta} \times 100$$

Where:

$\Delta$  – percentage change in spatial extent;

$\beta$  – the median spatial extent for the baseline reference period (2000-2019);

$\gamma$  – the median spatial extent for the most recent 5-year reporting period (e.g., 2018-2022).

Equation 1 is applied to measure changes in both permanent waters (cf. water that is observable year-round) and seasonal waters (cf. where water is observed for less than 12 months of the year).

The nature of this formula yields percentage change values as either positive or negative, which helps to indicate how spatial area is changing. On the SDG661 data portal, statistics are displayed using both positive and negative symbols. For interpretation of the statistics, if the value is shown as positive, the statistics represent an area gain while if the value is shown as negative, it represents a loss in surface area.

The use of 'positive' and 'negative' terminology does not imply a positive or negative state of the water-related ecosystem being monitored. Gain or loss in surface water area can be beneficial or detrimental. The resulting impact of a gain or loss in surface area must be locally contextualized. The percentage change statistic produced represents how the total area of lakes and rivers within a given boundary (e.g., nationally) is changing over time. Percentage change statistics aggregated at a national scale should be interpreted with some degree of caution because these statistics reflect the areas of all the lakes and rivers within a country boundary. For this reason, sub-national statistics are also made available including at basin and sub-basin scales. The statistics produced at these smaller scales reflects area changes to a smaller number of lakes and rivers within a basin or sub-section of a basin, allowing for localized, water body specific, decision making to occur.

## 2. Reservoirs

### Description of the method used to globally map changes to reservoir surface area:

A global reservoir dynamics dataset has been produced by the European Commission's Joint Research Centre. The dataset documents the long term (since 1984 onward) spatial area dynamics of 8,869 reservoirs at 30x30 meter pixel resolution. The reservoirs dataset represents surface area data on artificial waterbodies including reservoirs formed by dams, flooded areas such as opencast mines and quarries, and water bodies created by hydro-engineering projects such as waterway and harbour construction. The reservoirs dataset is derived from the Global Surface Water Explorer (GSWE) dataset, onto which is applied an expert system classifier designed to separate natural and artificial water bodies. The expert systems classifier is non-parametric to account for uncertainty in data, incorporate image interpretation expertise into the classification process, and uses multiple data sources. The expert system has been developed to delineate natural and artificial water using an evidential reasoning approach; the geographic location and the temporal behaviour of each pixel; and fed with the following datasets:

- Global Surface Water Explorer (Pekel et al., 2016):** This dataset that maps the location and long term (since 1984 onward) temporal distribution of water surfaces at global scale. The maps show different facets of surface water dynamics and document where and when open water was present on the Earth's surface. The maps include natural (rivers, lakes, coastal margins and wetlands) and artificial water bodies (reservoirs formed by dams, flooded areas such as opencast mines and quarries, flood irrigation areas such as paddy fields, and water bodies created by hydro-engineering projects such as waterway and harbour construction). The complete history of any water surface can be accessed at the pixel scale as temporal profile. These profiles allow for identifying specific months or years during which conditions changed, e.g. the date on which a new dam was created, or the month or year in which a lake disappeared. The GSWE dataset is continuously updated providing consistent global monitoring of open water bodies.
- Global Reservoir and Dam Database (Lehner et al, 2011):** The Global Reservoir and Dam Database v1.3 is the output of an international effort to collate existing dam and reservoir datasets with the aim of providing a single, geographically explicit and reliable database for the scientific community. The initial version (v1.1) of GRanD contains 6,862 records of reservoirs. The latest version (v1.3) augments v1.1 with an additional 458 reservoirs and associated dams to bring the total number of records to 7320.
- Global Digital Surface Model:** ALOS World 3D - 30m is a global digital surface model (DSM) dataset with a horizontal resolution of approximately 30 meters (1 arcsec mesh). The dataset is based on the DSM dataset (5-meter mesh version) of the World 3D Topographic Data. More details are available in the dataset documentation here.
- Digital Elevation Data (Farr et al, 2004):** The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM, see Farr et al. 2007) is a digital elevation dataset at 30 meters resolution provided by NASA JPL at a resolution of 1 arc-second.

### Calculating the extent to which reservoir area is changing over time:

Data on reservoir areas are available on monthly basis for a 38-year period, from 1984-onward. Every year new annual data of minimum and maximum reservoir water area is produced and added to this time series. To calculate percentage change in reservoir area a long-term baseline period has been defined and to be

compared against any subsequent 5-year target period. Mathematically the change of spatial extent of reservoirs is calculated using equation 2:

$$\text{Equation 2: } \Delta = \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\beta} \times 100$$

Where:

$\Delta$  – percentage change in spatial extent;

$\beta$  – the median spatial extent for the baseline reference period (2000-2019);

$\gamma$  – the median spatial extent for the most recent 5-year reporting period (e.g., 2018-2022).

Equation 2 is applied to measure changes in minimum reservoir extent, which is arguably the most critical parameter for reservoir monitoring.

- Minimum water extent of reservoirs is the lowest observed (or minimum) surface area of reservoirs in a year (intra-annual measurement). This minimum extent varies from one year to another. The data shows the extent to which the annual minimum surface area of reservoirs has changed compared to a reference period. Change is either gain or loss both shown in both percentage and km<sup>2</sup> units.

#### **Known limitations and scope for improvements.**

The current version of the Global Reservoir Dynamics dataset has the following known limitations:

- Some reservoirs built prior 1984 may be missing;
- Reservoirs smaller than 3 hectares (30 000 square meters) may be missing;
- Branches of reservoirs whose width is smaller than 30 meters may be missing.
- The Global Reservoir Dynamics relies on SRTM/ALOS DEM but new improved DEMs are available (e.g. GLO-30 DEM<sup>1</sup>)

### **3. Wetlands**

#### **Description of the method used to globally map wetlands:**

Inland vegetated wetlands are mapped according to the following definition: “Inland vegetated wetlands include areas of marshes, peatlands, swamps, bogs and fens, the vegetated parts of flood plains as well as rice paddies and flood recession agriculture”. This sub-indicator only measures inland vegetated wetlands and not coastal mangroves (see section 3.5 of this methodology on mangroves). This SDG indicator methodology is used for official reporting of SDG indicator 6.6.1 statistics. A high-resolution global geo-spatial mapping of inland vegetated wetlands has been produced detailing the spatial area of wetlands per country. The data on wetlands has been produced to support countries with monitoring their wetland ecosystems and bridge an existing global data gap. The data production method uses a consistent wetland monitoring mechanism based on satellite Earth Observation data and the global map includes the entire land surface of Earth except for Antarctica and a few small islands. As wetlands tend to be susceptible to high annual variations, multi-annual data was collected to even out potential annual biases and create a robust estimate of wetland area. Data was gathered from 2016, 2017 and 2018 and combined to produce a wetlands area baseline measurement (in km<sup>2</sup>).

---

<sup>1</sup> European Space Agency, Sinergise (2021). Copernicus Global Digital Elevation Model. Distributed by OpenTopography. <https://doi.org/10.5069/G9028PQB>. Accessed: 2024-03-24

Future annual updates will enable wetlands change statistics to be produced and once available these will be displayed on the SDG 6.6.1 data portal. Predicting wetland area using Earth Observation data relies on four components: stratification, training data, machine learning, and post-processing. The approach uses all available data from the satellites Sentinel-1, Sentinel-2, and Landsat 8 to predict wetland probability. A Digital Elevation Model is used to qualify wetland predictions and a post-processing routine converts the wetland probability map into a map of wetland area. In addition, topographic information from satellite-derived Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) are used. Close to 4 million satellite images amounting to 2.8 petabyte of data were analysed and classified as wetland or non-wetland using an automated machine learning model. Users of the global wetland map should be aware that the map represents a first line rapid assessment of the global distribution of vegetated wetlands. The methodology applied identifies vegetated inland wetlands. This may generate underestimations compared to national statistics which may integrate metrics on surface water and coastal/marine wetlands.




Figure 1. Workflow for mapping global wetland area

Data accuracy for the available wetlands data is approximately 70% and wetland data with 100% accuracy is not feasible at this current time. While it is based on a scientifically sound and robust mapping approach, there will inevitably be inaccuracies in the wetland predictions both in terms of commission and omission errors. Notable commission errors are for instance high-intensive irrigated agriculture parcels being classified as wetlands because they resemble many of the inherent spectral characteristics of wetlands (i.e. high moisture and vegetation presence even in dry season). Omission errors will mainly be attributed to the large diversity of wetlands. It is also worth noting that since the map only considers vegetated wetlands it may generate underestimations compared to national statistics which typically integrate metrics on surface water and coastal/marine wetlands.

#### Calculating the change in surface area of wetlands per country:

No change in surface area has yet been calculated. However, a baseline surface area has been calculated per country. This methodology uses a 2017 baseline (based on input imagery data from 2016 to 2018 to even out potential annual biases). Going forward, updates to this wetland area datasets will be produced annually. Once the update is produced it will be possible to calculate change of wetland area from the baseline reference period. Using this baseline period, percentage change of spatial extent is calculated using equation 3:

$$\text{Equation 3: } \Delta = \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\beta} \times 100$$

Where:

$\Delta$  – percentage change in spatial extent;

$\beta$  – the spatial wetland area for the baseline reference period (2016-2018);

$\gamma$  – the spatial area for the reporting period.

**Known limitations of the data are:**

Despite best effort to train the model across the widest range of wetlands possible, there will be types of wetlands and instances of wetland behaviour that will not be adequately captured in a global model. For instance, some ephemeral wetlands are rarely flooded or wet and therefore often missed by satellite datasets. In other cases, the wet part of a wetland may occur under a dense vegetation canopy, which is difficult to assess using Earth Observation data, where the presence of water/moist conditions is not easily detected.

- Only regional stratification is applied including strata spanning several countries. Using a finer level of stratification will help improve local/national wetland predictions;
- The accuracy of the wetlands map will improve further once cross referenced with more national wetland inventories and ground truthing;
- Terrain information from satellite derived DEMs is key input for mapping wetlands globally. The current reference datasets are the 30-meter SRTM DEM which covers the globe from 60°North to 56°South, while the region north of 60° north relied on a lower resolution 90-meter DEM model was used. Options for 30-meter DEMs north of 60°N exists and should be considered in future updates;
- Small islands and potentially even entire small island states fall outside the acquisition plan of the Sentinel satellites. As a result, no wetland prediction has been performed for these areas. It will be possible to develop separate models for these missing islands using alternative input satellite data (e.g. using Landsat alone).

Future updates and iterations of the wetlands map will address the above limitations, including a potential shift into a deep learning model to more explicitly reflect temporal and spatial aspects of wetland predictions. Despite limitations with the methodology the production of high-resolution wetland mapping for the entire globe is at the forefront of currently available technology and computing power. It represents a huge step forward towards reporting accurate, statistically robust wetland data.

## 4. Mangroves

### Description of the method used to measure mangrove area:

Global mangrove area maps were derived in two phases, initially producing a global map showing mangrove area (for 2010) and thereafter producing six additional annual data layers (for 1996, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2015 and 2016) (Bunting et al., 2018). The method uses a combination of radar (ALOS PALSAR) and optical (Landsat-5, -7) satellite data. Approximately 15,000 Landsat scenes and 1,500 ALOS PALSAR (1 x 1 degree) mosaic tiles were used to create optical and radar image composites covering the coastlines along the tropical and sub-tropical coastlines in the Americas, Africa, Asia and Oceania. The classification was confined using a mangrove habitat mask, which defined regions where mangrove ecosystems can be expected to exist. The mangrove habitat definition was generated based on geographical parameters such as latitude, elevation and distance from ocean water. Training for the habitat mask and classification of the 2010 mangrove mask was based on randomly sampling some 38 million points using historical mangrove maps for the year 2000 (Giri et al., 2010; Spalding et al., 2010), water occurrence maps (Pekel et al, 2017), and Digital Elevation Model data (SRTM-30).

The maps for the other six epochs were derived by detection and classification of mangrove losses (defined as a decrease in radar backscatter intensity) and mangrove gains (defined as a backscatter increase) between the 2010 ALOS PALSAR data on one hand, and JERS-1 SAR (1996), ALOS PALSAR (2007, 2008 & 2009) and ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 (2015 & 2016) data on the other. The change pixels for each annual dataset were then added or removed from the 2010 baseline raster mask (buffered to allow detection of mangrove gains also immediately outside of the mask) to produce the yearly extent maps.

Classification accuracy of the 2010 baseline dataset was assessed with approximately 53,800 randomly sampled points across 20 randomly selected regions. The overall accuracy was estimated to 95.25 %, while User's (commission error) and Producer's (omission error) accuracies for the mangrove class were estimated at 97.5% and 94.0%, respectively. Classification accuracies of the changes were assessed with over 45,000 points, with an overall accuracy of 75.0 %. The User's accuracies for the loss, gain and no-change classes respectively were estimated at 66.5%, 73.1% and 83.5%. The corresponding Producer's accuracies for the three classes were estimated as 87.5%, 73.0% and 69.0%, respectively.

### Calculating mangrove changes per country:

Data on mangroves area are available for 1996 and again annually from 2007 to 2020. New annual data will be gradually released. For the purpose of producing national statistics to monitor indicator 6.6.1, the year 2000 has been used as a proxy based on the 1996 annual dataset to align the baseline with that of the surface water dataset.

Annual mangrove extent is compared to this baseline year. Percentage change of spatial extent is calculated using equation 4.

$$\text{Equation 4: } \Delta = \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\beta} \times 100$$

Where:

$\Delta$  – percentage change in spatial extent;

$\beta$  – the national spatial extent from the baseline period (2000);

$\gamma$  – the national spatial extent of any other subsequent annual period.

Note: As river basin delineations does not fully extent into the c

#### Limitations of the mangrove data:

- The mangroves map is a global dataset, and as such, it should not be expected to achieve the same high level of accuracy everywhere as a local scale map derived through ground surveys or the use of very high spatial resolution geospatial data. A global area mapping exercise using consistent data and methods – although supplemented with ground-based data for calibration and validation – for logistical reasons generally requires a trade-off in terms of local scale accuracy. Nonetheless, global maps can be improved locally (or nationally) by adding improved information (in-situ data and aerial or drone data) for training and re-classification.
- Several different factors can affect the classification accuracy, including satellite data availability, mangrove species composition and level of degradation.
- While the original pixel spacing of the satellite data used for the mapping is 25-30 metres, a minimum mapping unit of approximately 1 hectare is recommended due to the classification uncertainty of a single pixel. The classification errors (in particular omission errors) typically increase in regions of disturbance and fragmentation such as aquaculture ponds, as well as along riverine or coastal reef mangroves that form narrow shoreline fringes of a few pixels.
- In general, the mangrove seaward border is more accurately defined than the landward side where distinction between mangrove and certain wetland or terrestrial vegetation species can be unclear.
- Striping artefacts due to Landsat-7 scanline error are present in some areas, particularly West African regions due to lack of Landsat-5 data and persistent cloud cover.
- Known data gaps in this version (v2.0) of the dataset: Aldabra island group (Seychelles); Andaman and Nicobar Islands (India); Bermuda (U.K.); Chagos Islands; Europa Island (France); Fiji (part east of Antemeridian); Guam and Saipan (U.S.); Kiribati; Maldives; Marshall Islands; Peru (south of latitude S4°), and Wallis and Futuna Islands (France).
- As with wetland mapping the production of high-resolution mangrove data for the entire globe is at the forefront of currently available technology and computing power. It represents a huge step forward towards reporting accurate, statistically robust mangrove data which can be updated continuously.

## 5. Water Quality: Turbidity and Trophic state

#### Description of the method used to globally map water quality:

The global dataset to measure water quality for SDG indicator 6.6.1 includes two lake water parameters:

1. Turbidity (TUR), and
2. Trophic State Index (TSI).

Both parameters may be used to infer a particular state, or quality, of a freshwater body. Turbidity is a key indicator of water clarity, quantifying the haziness of the water and acting as an indicator of underwater light availability. Trophic State Index refers to the degree at which organic matter accumulates in the water body and is most commonly used in relation to monitor of eutrophication. Turbidity is derived from suspended solids concentration estimates (Binding et al., 2018<sup>2</sup>) and the Trophic State Index is derived from phytoplankton biomass by proxy of chlorophyll-a (Table 3).

*Table 3: Trophic state index and related chlorophyll-a concentration classes (according to Carlson (1977))*

---

<sup>2</sup> Binding, C., Stumpf, R.P., Schaeffer, B.A., Tyler, A. and Hunter, P., 2018. Chapter 2: Introduction to Deriving Water Quality Measures from Satellites. Reports and Monographs of the International Ocean Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG), 17, pp.15-28.

Trophic classification	Trophic State Index, Copernicus Global Land Service TSI values	Chlorophyll-a ( $\mu\text{g/l}$ ) (upper limit)
Oligotrophic	0	0.04
	10	0.12
	20	0.34
	30	0.94
Mesotrophic	40	2.6
	50	6.4
Eutrophic	60	20
	70	56
Hypereutrophic	80	154
	90	427
	100	1183

The products are mapped at a 300x300 meter pixel resolution capturing monthly data for a total of 4265 lakes and covering two epochs 2006-2010 and 2017-2020. Each lake has individual identification information allowing it to be related to other hydrological datasets. A list of all lake IDs and additional information (location, name – where known, area) is available.

Products in the period 2006-2010 are based on observations from the Envisat MERIS mission, whereas the product 2017-2020 is derived from the OLCI sensors onboard Sentinel 3. Land/water buffer maps as well as ice maps were applied to improve the accuracy of the data. EO-derived water quality parameters are intrinsically difficult to validate, as they strongly depend on the specific lake environment and suitable in-situ data for validation is lacking for most lakes. Still, the general experience of applying EO to derive water quality is that outputs tend to be in accordance with expected spatiotemporal patterns and comparing well to published numbers (Gholizadeh et al., 2016).

#### Calculating Turbidity and Trophic State Index statistics:

A baseline reference period has been produced comprising monthly averages across 5 years of observations for the period 2006-2010. From these five years of data, 12 monthly averages (one for each month of the year) for both trophic state and turbidity, were derived. A further set of observations are then used to calculate change against the baseline data. These monthly data comprise years 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021. The 12 monthly averages for these five years have been derived as used for SDG 6.6.1 reporting.

Monthly deviation of the multiannual baseline is computed using equation 5:

$$\text{Equation 5: } \frac{\text{Monthly average} - \text{Monthly baseline}}{\text{Monthly baseline}} \times 100$$

For each pixel, and for each month, the number of valid observations has been counted and the number of months where there were monthly deviations, falling in one of the following range of values: 0-25% (low), 25-50% (medium), 50-75% (high), 75-100% (extreme). A corresponding annual deviation synthesis is also produced, and for each target year the number of “affected” lakes relative to the total number of lakes is computed and reported.

A lake is categorized as adversely affected if the combined occurrences of high and extreme changes outweigh those of low and medium changes i.e., (high+extreme) > (low+medium). For turbidity, this rule is

applied to the average lake conditions across the year, but for trophic state, which is more event-based, a lake is considered adversely affected if the rule applies for any month within a given year.

The data represent the number of lakes impacted by a degradation of their environmental conditions (i.e. showing a deviation in turbidity and trophic state from the baseline) compared to the total number of lakes within a country. A country or basin's lake water quality status is labelled as in decline if over 20 per cent of its lakes are affected, based on these criteria. The data is not informing whether a lake is considered to be of good or bad quality, only that a lake water event has occurred and has been recorded. Each event is considered indicative of a degradation in water quality; however, it is important to note that the turbidity and trophic state are included in indicator 6.6.1 as indirect (or proxy) indicators for water quality. These two parameters are not a direct measurement of water quality; however, they perform a very successful proxy role. The proxy parameters are therefore used to alert countries to these events, encouraging countries to investigate why an event occurred and determine if any remedial action is required. You can trace when high and extreme events have event occurred within the advanced analysis of the data.

**Known limitations of the water quality data are:**

- The major limiting factor in satellite-based water quality assessment is the scarcity of available in situ data to support algorithm tuning and validation. Without dedicated field campaigns, automated monitoring stations, and community data sharing arrangements, this is likely to remain a major source of product uncertainty for some years;
- Shallow lakes as well as the influence of ice/snow is suspected to add to the observed increase in turbidity levels in the high northern latitudes.

## 6. River Flow

**Measuring or modelling river flow (discharge):**

River and estuary discharge, or the volume of water moving downstream per unit of time, is an essential metric for understanding water quantity within an ecosystem and availability for human use. Countries should provide total annual discharge per major river in order to observe change in river discharge over time.

The river flow sub-indicator measures the changes in the volume of water flowing downstream in rivers and estuaries, also called river discharge. Although the methodology provided for this sub-indicator is flexible, depending on the specificities of countries, the state of their river basins and the national resources available, countries should adhere to the following basic monitoring and reporting guidelines:

- Countries are required to provide the total annual discharge for all major rivers and monitor changes in river discharge across years.
- Discharge data from each major river monitored should be collected at least once per month. This data should then be averaged to obtain an annual average discharge per river.
- Each basin should have a minimum of one sampling location, at the point where its water exits into another basin or at the exit point from major tributaries.

The in-situ monitoring methods for river discharge are flexible and can include gauging stations, current meters, or even modelled discharges from hydrological/hydraulic models (preferably complemented with in-situ data, where possible, to ensure accuracy).

While the river flow sub-indicator is primarily intended as being measured in-situ, with techniques including gauging stations and discharge meters. The availability of in-situ observations is spatially heterogeneous and scarce in large parts of the world. Furthermore, large scale monitoring networks are expensive and, in many cases, impractical, particularly for large scale or subsurface processes such as groundwater dynamics. River flow (and ground water) cannot be directly observed from space, but they can be simulated by combining Earth Observations and numerical simulations.

In response to this situation a modelling approach has been adopted for the global reporting on river flow changes. The approach is based the DHI Global Hydrological Model (DHI-GHM) which provides historical, real-time, 10-day and seasonal forecast simulations at the global scale. DHI-GHM is comprised of a distributed gridded rainfall-runoff model with a spatial resolution of 0.1° and an agile kinematic routing model that moves water between model grid cells and sub-catchments and in the river system. Model output includes gridded hydrological variables, such as soil moisture content and different runoff components, and discharge at more than 1 million river points globally (Murray et al., 2023).

From the DHI-GHM model, monthly river discharge data since year 2000 are obtained for all relevant level 12 hydro basins and used to estimate annual data of minimum and maximum river flow. River flows from the outlets of the level 12 basins that serve as outlet basins of the higher levels are aggregated to calculate the flows of higher-level basins. The total annual min./max. discharge for countries are computed as the sum of the discharge at all river outlets falling within a given country.

To calculate percentage change in river, flow a 20-year baseline period for annual minimum and maximum flow is calculated nationally and for each sub-basin level. This baseline period is used to calculate the percentage change of discharge for any subsequent 5-year period (cf. equation 6).

$$\text{Equation 6: } \Delta = \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\beta} \times 100$$

Where

$\Delta$  = Percentage Change in River Discharge

$\beta$  = historical 20-year reference discharge i.e. the median of the annual minimum and maximum discharge during the 2000-2019 period.

$\gamma$  = the median of the annual min./max. discharge over the 5-year reporting period (e.g., 2017-2021).

Changes in river flow are calculated nationally and sub-nationally for all hydro basin levels.

#### **Important considerations:**

The sections below describe key considerations for monitoring discharge and provides criteria for discharge to complement the global data currently generated for Indicator 6.6.1.

- **Common in-situ monitoring methods:** There are a variety of methods for monitoring discharge in situ and selection should be based on the size and type of the waterbody, terrain and velocity of water flow, the desired accuracy of measurement, as well as finances available. Two the most common and accessible approaches are gauging stations and using current meters. In many countries, gauging stations are the most prevalent means for measuring river discharge as they allow even for continuous and often real-time monitoring. These are fixed locations along a river or estuary where the change in water surface level (stage) is monitored at locations where a unique relationship exists between stage

and flow and a so-called rating curve can be produced. Water surface height (stage) is captured frequently, and the discharge estimated, most often at monthly intervals but in many places, this is available at daily intervals or even continuously. Current meters and other instruments can be used to monitor flow and calculate discharge. For example, propeller, pygmy or electromagnetic current meters are often used to measure velocity and can be used in conjunction with cross-sectional area methods to obtain flow rates. Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler's (ADCPs) are widely used for larger rivers/estuaries to accurately measure bed depth, velocity, and discharge. They are often attached to boats and dragged along a waterbody, but permanent installations can also be found, sending out acoustic waves and measuring acoustic reflectance. Meters and instruments like ADCPs are significantly more costly than other methods of measurement and require skilled operators and good maintenance programmes. However, in larger rivers they may be the most appropriate option, especially during high flow conditions.

- **Location of Monitoring:** The chosen monitoring method may dictate where along a river or estuary the discharge is captured. For example, if fixed weirs are in place, monitoring will always take place here. Since in situ discharge monitoring can be time and cost-intensive, choosing strategic locations which represent a whole river or estuary is recommended. The minimum monitoring effort is to locate one flow measuring site within proximity to each basin's exit (into another basin). In addition, monitoring at the exit point from all major tributaries adds a substantial level of information. Where there is a local impact on discharge due to human influence, then it is recommended to monitor flow upstream and downstream of these areas so that the overall situation can be managed.
- **Frequency of Monitoring:** The quantity of water in a river or estuary can change rapidly in response to rainfall and weather patterns. The more data on discharge there is, the higher the accuracy is of that discharge data. However, again it is important to focus efforts and choose a strategic frequency for monitoring. Data on discharge should ideally be collected at a given location once a month at minimum (ideally at a daily frequency) and this data can then be used to determine annual and long-term trends. The quantity of water in estuaries may be significantly influenced by tidal inflows, thus this indicator is limited to the freshwater inflows to the estuary from the upstream river.
- **Modelling Discharge:** In addition to in situ monitoring which always is impacted by all forms of flow moderation, storage or abstractions upstream, discharge may also be modelled from one of the many available models which use climatic and land-use data, amongst other data, to estimate both natural and present-day flows. Globally hydrological model applications are available and in some countries these or similar models have been developed for the local context and are calibrated using real measured data. It is recommended that modelled discharge data is complimented by measured in situ data wherever possible to ensure accuracy. Conceptual hydrological models for flow and discharge estimation are normally less amenable to detecting the flow impacts of minor land-cover changes over time as the models are calibrated on historical flow data and associated land-use conditions.

## 7. Groundwater

### Measuring quantity of groundwater within aquifers:

The changes to the quantity of groundwater within aquifers is important information for many countries that rely heavily on groundwater availability. For the purposes of SDG indicator 6.6.1 monitoring the changes to groundwater levels gives a good indication of changes to the water stored in an aquifer. Furthermore, only significant ground water aquifers, that can be seen as individual freshwater ecosystems will be included in the reporting.

**Important considerations:**

The sections below describe some key considerations for monitoring groundwater changes for SDG indicator 6.6.1:

- Location of Monitoring:** Measuring the level of groundwater within an aquifer is done through the use of boreholes. One of the challenges in setting up monitoring is choosing the location of boreholes which will adequately represent the total groundwater situation for an aquifer. The number of boreholes that need to be monitored cannot be prescribed because the distribution of groundwater can be variable depending on the location and characteristics of aquifers. It is recommended that sufficient boreholes to characterise the area should be monitored, with the capacity of the country being a factor in deciding how many would best represent the area. It is highly recommended that data should be taken from observation boreholes / monitoring boreholes (these are boreholes which are not equipped with pumps). Data from used (pumped) boreholes should be avoided. In case a pumped borehole needs to be used for measurements, then it is crucial to allow for a sufficiently long recovery period in which the borehole is not used so that the groundwater level in the borehole can stabilise prior to any measurement.
- Frequency of Monitoring:** Groundwater levels change as a result of changes in groundwater recharge (affected by climate conditions, and land use) and by anthropogenic removals from the system (groundwater abstraction). Seasonal and wet/dry cycle influences need to be understood and hence monthly monitoring is optimal, but collection at least twice per year, in the wet and dry seasons, is necessary.
- Criteria for Indicator 6.6.1 Data:** Groundwater quantity data provided to the custodian agency(s) will be quality checked to ensure data integrity. Collection of groundwater level data generates statistics that are a proxy to the quantity of groundwater in an aquifer over time. In order to examine this change over time, percentage change in groundwater level will be generated and validated between the custodian agency(s) and the country. Calculating percentage change at a national level requires the establishment of a common reference period for all aquifers, which can either be based on historical groundwater level data (preferred) or modelled data if available. In cases where these are unavailable, a more recent period can be adopted to represent the 'baseline' or reference period. Countries should provide the annual level of groundwater in order to observe change in aquifer volume over time. A data collection table is provided in the monitoring methodology as an annex.

**8. National Indicator Score**

The overall national summary score, which assesses progress toward achieving SDG Target 6.6 on protecting and restoring freshwater ecosystems, is derived by integrating the individual sub-indicators. The score is based on the evaluation of changes in the sub-indicators and based on the One Out, All Out (1OAO) principle that is if one of the sub-indicators is in decline the national summary score would be considered in decline, and in strong decline if there are two or more declining sub-indicators. If no sub-indicators are declining the following applies: stable, when all sub-indicators are neither declining nor improving; improving, when one or two sub-indicators is improving and greatly improving when there are more than two improving sub-indicators.

**4.d. Validation** (DATA\_VALIDATION)

All satellite-based Earth observation data on freshwater are updated annually and uploaded to the SDG indicator 6.6.1 data portal ([www.sdg661.app](http://www.sdg661.app)) where is freely accessible and data are freely downloadable. Every 3-4 years, in alignment with the timeline of the SDG6 Integrated Monitoring Initiative coordinated by UN Water, national SDG indicator 6.6.1 data are shared with national indicator focal points (pre-confirmed SDG 661 indicator focal persons) for no-objection approval.

#### 4.e. Adjustments (ADJUSTMENT)

---

No adjustments are made.

#### 4.f. Treatment of missing values (i) at country level and (ii) at regional level

(IMPUTATION)

---

- **At country level**

Due to the use of satellite data for some sub-indicators, it is not expected to have missing data for these sub-indicators. For all other sub-indicators, missing values are not imputed.

- **At regional and global levels**

Missing values are not imputed.

#### 4.g. Regional aggregations (REG\_AGG)

---

For the aggregation methods, please see:

[https://wesr.unep.org/media/docs/graphs/aggregation\\_methods.pdf](https://wesr.unep.org/media/docs/graphs/aggregation_methods.pdf).

#### 4.h. Methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level (DOC\_METHOD)

---

A full SDG indicator monitoring methodology is available in all UN languages [here](#).

All documentation on methodologies, downloads, production partners are available at the [Freshwater Ecosystem Explorer](#) ([www.sdg661.app](http://www.sdg661.app)) .

#### 4.i. Quality management (QUALITY\_MGMNT)

---

The production methodologies for each freshwater satellite data set comprises quality management procedures and processes integrated into the data production process to ensure a minimum and consistent quality standard is met.

#### 4.j Quality assurance (QUALITY\_ASSURE)

---

The data production processes for each freshwater satellite data set comprises quality assurance (mathematical formulas) as an integrated component of the data production process to ensure a minimum and consistent quality standard is met and guarantying statically robust and internationally comparable data across time and space produced for all countries. The data production processes are published, including through peer reviewed scientific journals. Quality assurance processes are additionally carried out by data production teams at the European Commission. Data is shared and approved by countries and quality management processes are conducted at the United Nations Environment Programme according

to approved standard operating procedures on data handling, aggregation, and management, prior to indicator data submission to UNSD.

#### 4.k Quality assessment (QUALITY\_ASSMNT)

---

Refer to 4.i and 4.j.

### 5. Data availability and disaggregation (COVERAGE)

---

#### Data availability:

All SDG 6.6.1 indicator data is freely available and downloadable at the Freshwater Ecosystem Explorer [www.sdg661.app](http://www.sdg661.app)

#### Time series:

The reporting on this indicator will follow an annual cycle.

#### Disaggregation:

SDG indicator 6.6.1 can be disaggregated by ecosystem type (which enables decision at ecosystem level to be taken). The SDG 661 data can also be disaggregated at different spatial scales i.e. National, basin, sub-administrative level, lakes, and reservoirs.

### 6. Comparability / deviation from international standards (COMPARABILITY)

---

#### Sources of discrepancies:

Not applicable

### 7. References and Documentation (OTHER\_DOC)

---

URL: <http://www.sdg6monitoring.org/indicators/target-66/indicators661/>

All documentation on methodologies, downloads, production partners are available at the [Freshwater Ecosystem Explorer \(www.sdg661.app\)](http://www.sdg661.app).

In developing the methodology for indicator 6.6.1 UNEP set up a technical expert group. This group provided inputs into the development of the monitoring methodology. A first draft (Tier III) methodology was piloted in 2017 and sent to all UN Member States accompanied with relevant capacity support materials. A limited number of Member States (19 per cent) submitted data to UNEP after a period of 8 months. The data that was received was of poor quality and coverage. Countries cited a lack of data to report, and neither time nor resources to initiate new ecosystem monitoring.

Following on from the global piloting and testing phase, and to address a known global data gap for the indicator, the methodology was revised to incorporate data on water-related ecosystem derived from satellite-based Earth observations. UNEP engaged with a series of partners working with global data products considered relevant and suitable for the indicator. The assessment of global data sources considered data quality, resolution, frequency of measurements, global coverage, time series, and scalability (i.e. disaggregated data at national and sub-national levels). The result was a methodology that is statistically robust producing internationally comparable data without being too onerous for countries

to report on. The technical expert group was consulted on the updated methodology before submission to the IAEG-SDG for approval.

At the 7th IAEG-SDG meeting in April 2018, the indicator methodology was approved and classified as Tier II. Shortly afterwards, in November 2018, it was reclassified to a Tier I indicator methodology. The Tier I classification means that the indicator is conceptually clear, has an internationally established methodology and standards are available, and data are regularly produced by at least 50 per cent of countries and of the population in every region where the indicator is relevant.

Throughout 2019, UNEP continued to work with its partners to improve the globally available datasets relevant to SDG indicator 6.6.1 and the measurement of changes occurring to different types of water-related ecosystem. As such, this methodology was updated in March 2020 to include more detailed information about the approach used to obtain satellite-based Earth observation data with regard to the sub-indicators.

## References

Bunting P., Rosenqvist A., Lucas R M., Rebelo L. M., Hilarides L., Thomas N., Hardy A., Itoh T., Shimada M. and Finlayson C. M. (2018). The Global Mangrove gwatch – a new 2010 Global gbaseline of Mangrove mextent. *Remote Sens.ing*, 10,( ) 1669 .<https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10101669>.

Dickens et al, 2019 : Chris Dickins, Matthew McCartney: Water-related Ecosystems, International Water Management Institute, Sri Lanka. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11020462>

Farr et al, 2004 : Farr et al, 2004 - Farr, T.G., Rosen, P.A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M., Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J., Werner, M., Oskin,

M., Burbank, D., and Alsdorf, D.E., 2007, The shuttle radar topography mission: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 45, no. 2, RG2004, at <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000183>.

Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen L. L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T.R., Masek, J. & Duke, N. (2011). Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20(1), 154-159. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00584.x>

Gholizadeh, M. H., Melesse, A. M. & Reddi, L. A Comprehensive Review on Water Quality Parameters Estimation Using Remote Sensing Techniques. 2016. *Sensors*, 16(8), 1298. Available from: <http://doi.org/10.3390/s16081298>

Lehner et al, 2011: Lehner et al, 2011 - Lehner, B., C. Reidy Liermann, C. Revenga, C. Vörösmarty, B. Fekete, P. :Crouzet, P. Döll, M. Endejan, K. Frenken, J. Magome, C. Nilsson, J.C. Robertson, R. Rodel, N.

Sindorf, and D. Wisser. 2011. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 9 (9): 494-502.

MEA, 2005: –Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005) Ecosystems and Human Well Being:

Wetlands and water synthesis. Island Press, Washington DC. <https://www.millenniumassessment.org/documents/document.358.aspx.pdf>

Murray, A.M., Jørgensen, G.H., Godiksen, P.N., Anthonj, J. and Madsen, H., 2023. DHI-GHM: Real-time and forecasted hydrology for the entire planet. *Journal of Hydrology*, 620, p.129431.

Pekel, JF. ., Cottam, A., Gorelick N., & Belward A., S (2016). High-resolution mapping of global surface water and its long-term changes. *Nature*, 540) : <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20584>.

Sayer et al. 2019 : Sayer et al, 2019 – Roger Sayre, Suzanne Noble, Sharon Hamann, Rebecca Smith, Dawn Wright, Sean Breyer, Kevin Butler, Keith Van Graafeiland, Charlie Frye, Deniz Karagulle, Dabney Hopkins,

Drew Stephens, Kevin Kelly, Zeenatul Basher, Devon Burton, Jill Cress, Karina Atkins, D. Paco Van

Sistine, Beverly Friesen, Rebecca Allee, Tom Allen, Peter Aniello, Irawan Asaad, Mark John

Costello, Kathy Goodin, Peter Harris, Maria Kavanaugh, Helen Lillis, Eleonora Manca, Frank MullerKarger, Bjorn Nyberg, Rost Parsons, Justin Saarinen, Jac Steiner & Adam Reed (2019) A new 30

meter resolution global shoreline vector and associated global islands database for the development of standardized ecological coastal units, *Journal of Operational Oceanography*, 12:sup2, S47-S56, DOI:

10.1080/1755876X.2018.1529714

Spalding M., Kainuma, M. & Collins, L. (2010). *World Atlas of Mangroves* (v1.1). London, U.K.: Earthscan (Taylor & Francis). ISBN: 978-1-84407-657-4. Available at: <https://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/5>.

# SDG indicator metadata

(Harmonized metadata template - format version 1.1)

## 0. Indicator information (SDG\_INDICATOR\_INFO)

### 0.a. Goal (SDG\_GOAL)

Goal 6: Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all

### 0.b. Target (SDG\_TARGET)

Target 6.6: By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes

### 0.c. Indicator (SDG\_INDICATOR)

Indicator 6.6.1: Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time

### 0.d. Series (SDG\_SERIES\_DESCR)

EN\_WBE\_HMWTL - Extent of human made wetlands (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

EN\_WBE\_INWTL - Extent of inland wetlands (square kilometres) [6.6.1]

### 0.e. Metadata update (META\_LAST\_UPDATE)

2024-07-29

### 0.f. Related indicators (SDG\_RELATED\_INDICATORS)

15.1

### 0.g. International organisations(s) responsible for global monitoring

(SDG\_CUSTODIAN\_AGENCIES)

Secretariat of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands

## 1. Data reporter (CONTACT)

### 1.a. Organisation (CONTACT\_ORGANISATION)

Secretariat of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands

## 2. Definition, concepts, and classifications (IND\_DEF\_CON\_CLASS)

### 2.a. Definition and concepts (STAT\_CONC\_DEF)

#### Definition:

- “extent of wetlands”

This term can be defined as the surface area of wetlands. It is measured in km<sup>2</sup> or hectares. It is expected that the surface reported by countries in 2018 correspond to that of 2017; if not, the reference year should be indicated.

- “change in the extent of wetlands”

This term refers to the percentage change in area of wetlands from a baseline reference. For reporting such change, the previous extent, if known, and the period over which the change has taken place should be specified.

#### Concepts:

In order to provide a precise definition of the indicator, it is crucial to provide a definition of “**Water related ecosystems**”. For this purpose, the definition of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands is used.

- **the Ramsar definition of “wetlands”**

The Ramsar definition is very broad, reflecting the purpose and global coverage of the Convention:

In accordance with Article 1.1 of the Convention,  
*“Wetlands are areas of marsh, fen, peatland or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six metres”.*

In addition, in accordance with Article 2.1, Ramsar Sites  
*“may incorporate riparian and coastal zones adjacent to the wetlands, and islands or bodies of marine water deeper than six metres at low tide lying within the wetlands”.*

- **the Ramsar system of classifying wetland types**

Many national definitions and classifications of “wetlands” are in use. They have been developed in response to different national needs and take into account the main biophysical features (generally vegetation, landform and water regime, and sometimes also water chemistry such as salinity) and the variety and size of wetlands in the locality or region being considered.

The Ramsar Classification System for Wetland Types, adopted at COP4 in 1990, and amended at COP6 in 1996 (Resolution VI.5) and at COP7 in 1999 (Resolution VII.11) has value as a basic internationally applicable habitat description for sites designated for the Ramsar List of Wetlands of International Importance.

The System (see Annex 1) describes the types of wetland covered by each of the wetland type codes. Note that the wetland types are grouped in three major categories: marine/coastal, inland, and human-made wetlands. Within a single Ramsar Site or other wetland, there may be wetland types from two or more of these categories, particularly if the wetland is large.

For the purpose of the Target and Indicator, and based on the National Reports Parties report on the use of the three major categories. Countries also use Ramsar definition that has been internationally agreed under the Convention. The minimum information that should be provided is the total area of wetlands for each of these three categories with an emphasis on inland wetlands or freshwater ecosystems for purpose of indicator 6.6.1 (see table below, the explanations of each wetland type code is in Annex 1).

**Table 1: Tabulations of Wetland Type characteristics, Inland Wetlands:**

Fresh water	Flowing water	Permanent	Rivers, streams, creeks	M
			Deltas	L
			Springs, oases	Y
	Lakes and pools	Seasonal/intermittent	Rivers, streams, creeks	N
			> 8 ha	O
		Permanent	< 8 ha	Tp
			Seasonal/intermittent	> 8 ha
			< 8 ha	Ts

	Marshes on inorganic soils	Permanent	Herb-dominated	Tp
		Permanent/ Seasonal/intermittent	Shrub-dominated	W
			Tree-dominated	Xf
		Seasonal/intermittent	Herb-dominated	Ts
	Marshes on peat soils	Permanent	Non-forested	U
			Forested	Xp
	Marshes on inorganic or peat soils	High altitude (alpine)		Va
Tundra		Vt		
Saline, brackish or alkaline water	Lakes	Permanent	Q	
		Seasonal/intermittent	R	
	Marshes & pools	Permanent	Sp	
		Seasonal/intermittent	Ss	
Fresh, saline, brackish or alkaline water	Geothermal			Zg
	Subterranean			Zk(b)

## 2.b. Unit of measure (UNIT\_MEASURE)

The extent of wetlands is measured in km<sup>2</sup>

## 2.c. Classifications (CLASS\_SYSTEM)

The international standard classification being used is the Ramsar Classification System for Wetland Types, adopted at COP4 in 1990, and amended at COP6 in 1996 (Resolution VI.5) and at COP7 in 1999 (Resolution VII.11) which is a basic internationally applicable habitat description for sites designated for the Ramsar List of Wetlands of International Importance and other wetlands. See item 7 Annex 1 for the full classification.

## 3. Data source type and data collection method (SRC\_TYPE\_COLL\_METHOD)

### 3.a. Data sources (SOURCE\_TYPE)

The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands Secretariat has been collecting and analysing data on country implementation since 2000 including information about wetland inventories. This is done at intervals of 3 years, that is the cycle of Country reporting under the Convention

The 1999 review of the state of wetland inventory worldwide (*Global review of wetland resources and priorities for wetland inventory - GROWI*), which was undertaken for the Ramsar Convention, identified not only the major gaps in the extent to which wetland inventory had been undertaken, but also found that for the inventories which had been made, it was frequently very hard to trace their existence, to identify their purpose, scope and coverage, and/or to access the information contained in them.

Another source of information is the update of the Wetland Extent Trends (WET) Index that was commissioned by the Ramsar Convention Secretariat to WCMC. The Wet Index is an updatable indicator of wetland area trends where there are still gaps of information. However, it is not applicable at national

level and has not been used, as data are not available at national level. This will be fixed with national reports.

In the format for National Report for COP13 the Contracting Parties agreed the inclusion of an indicator on the extent of wetlands and change in the extent (indicator 6.6.1). For COP13, 44% of Contracting Parties have completed national wetlands inventories and 16% of Parties reported that their wetland inventories are in progress. Therefore, all data are provided to the Ramsar Secretariat by countries in the form of a country report following a standard format, which includes the original data and reference sources and descriptions of how these have been used to estimate the extent of wetlands.

### 3.b. Data collection method (COLL\_METHOD)

---

All data are provided by Ramsar Administrative Authorities to the Ramsar Secretariat in the form of country reports of implementation of the Convention based on a standard format that it is been approved by the Standing Committee. The format includes indicators to estimate wetland extent with reference sources.

As indicated in the Quality Assurance section, for remaining countries where no information is provided, a report is prepared by the Ramsar Secretariat using existing information and a literature search. All country reports (including those prepared by the Ramsar Secretariat) are sent to the respective Administrative Authority for validation before finalization.

### 3.c. Data collection calendar (FREQ\_COLL)

---

Data collection process for indicator 6.6.1 has started in 2018 and data collection will take place also in 2019.

### 3.d. Data release calendar (REL\_CAL\_POLICY)

---

Updated data with time series and including year 2020 will be released late 2020.

### 3.e. Data providers (DATA\_SOURCE)

---

Ramsar Administrative Authorities prepare and submit to the Ramsar Secretariat their National Reports on implementation for each Conference of the Parties. Countries with dependent territories prepare more than one report. For the remaining countries where no information is provided, a report is prepared by the Ramsar Secretariat using existing information and a literature search that is validated by the concerned countries.

### 3.f. Data compilers (COMPILING\_ORG)

---

Secretariat of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands: The Secretariat expects to work with UNEP as co-custodian of this indicator and other UN agencies and partners.

### 3.g. Institutional mandate (INST\_MANDATE)

---

At the 52nd meeting of the Standing Committee (SC52) in 2016, Contracting Parties of the Convention on Wetlands approved the inclusion of an indicator on wetland extent in the National Report to COP13.

Subsequently, the UN General Assembly in July 2017 adopted the global indicator framework (A/RES/71/313) that included Indicator 6.6.1 on change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time. Given that Contracting Parties were reporting on extent as part of the National Reports, the Interagency Expert Group on SDGs in 2017 appointed the Convention on Wetlands as co-custodian of Indicator 6.6.1 using data coming from National Reports, which used wetland inventories as a main source.

As noted in Resolution XIII.7, enhancing the Convention's visibility and synergies with other multilateral environmental agreements and other international institutions, the Convention on Wetlands is co-custodian with UNEP of SDG Indicator 6.6.1. The Convention contributes to monitoring progress with data from National Reports on extent of wetlands, based on the Convention's definitions and requirements for reporting.

Paragraph 40 of Resolution XIII.7 "requests the Secretariat to continue working with Contracting Parties on the completion of national wetland inventories and wetland extent to report on SDG Indicator 6.6.1". The Standing Committee at its 54th and 57th meetings, through Decisions SC54-26 and SC57-47, approved the allocation of funds to support Contracting Parties in the completion of wetland inventories and report on wetland extent under Indicator 6.6.1.

## 4. Other methodological considerations (OTHER\_METHOD)

### 4.a. Rationale (RATIONALE)

---

The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands is the Intergovernmental treaty that provides the framework for the Conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources. The Convention was adopted in 1971 and came into force in 1975. Since then, 170 Countries representing almost 90% on UN member states, from all the world's geographic regions have acceded to become Contracting Parties under the Convention.

At its 52nd meeting, in 2016, the Standing Committee of the Ramsar Convention agreed that Parties would include in their national reports for the 13th meeting of the Conference of the Parties, which have been submitted in January 2018, data on the "extent" of wetlands. This requirement provides an intergovernmental mechanism to obtain verified data that clearly contribute to Indicator 6.6.1 on wetland extent, but also to collect information for Target 15.1 which consider other types of ecosystems. The indicator provides a measure of the relative extent of inland wetlands in a country. It follows the rationale of the forest indicator (Indicator 15.1.1). The availability of accurate data on a country's wetland extent based on the country's wetland inventory is crucial for decision making regarding policies, restoration of critical wetlands or designation under national or international management or protected area categories.

Changes in the wetland extent reflect wetland loss and degradation for land use changes or for other uses and may help identify unsustainable practices from different sectors.

### 4.b. Comment and limitations (REC\_USE\_LIM)

---

The 1999 review of the state of wetland inventory worldwide (Global review of wetland resources and priorities for wetland inventory - GRoWI), which was undertaken for the Ramsar Convention, identified not only the major gaps in the extent to which wetland inventory had been undertaken, but also found

that for the inventories which had been made, it was frequently very hard to trace their existence, to identify their purpose, scope and coverage, and/or to access the information contained in them.

In the light of these findings and to help address this lack of access by those who need to use wetland inventory for a wide range of Convention implementation purposes, the Convention's Scientific & Technical Review Panel (STRP) developed a standard model for wetland inventory metadata (i.e., data about the characteristics of a wetland inventory, rather than the inventory data itself) in order to facilitate those who have inventories in making the existence and availability of these more publicly accessible.

In 2002, several limitations were identified (Ramsar COP8) in the use of EO for routinely deriving wetland information. These included the cost of the technology, the technical capacity needed to use the data, the unsuitability of the data available for some basic applications (in terms of spatial resolution), the lack of clear, robust and efficient user-oriented methods and guidelines for using the technology, and a lack of solid track record of successful case studies that could form a basis for operational activities.

Historical optical data is available from Landsat and Spot missions; however, persistent cloud cover in certain regions renders much of these data unusable. Distinguishing between permanent and temporary surface water and wetlands can therefore be difficult considering the available historical data. It is further noted that for complex environments with different wetland types, in situ data or local knowledge is critical to support the analysis of the EO data, and is sometimes the only way to obtain information on certain wetland types.

Another limitation is that some countries are in the process of updating or completing their national wetlands inventories. In others, there are still gaps or difficulty to access the available information.

Despite the above limitations, the use of the measure of extent of wetlands will respond to the indicator and will allow having a practical mechanism in the short term to track the status of water related ecosystems with robust data and foster action for the conservation of these important ecosystems.

#### 4.c. Method of computation (DATA\_COMP)

---

Wetland area (Km<sup>2</sup> or ha, reference year)/Change in the extent of wetlands (water-related ecosystems over time) a baseline reference and year.

Based upon the national wetland inventory (complete or partial), countries provide a baseline figure in square kilometres for the extent of wetlands (according to the Ramsar definition) for the year 2017. The minimum information that should be provided is the total area of wetlands for each of the three major categories; "marine/coastal", "inland" and "human-made".

If the information is available, countries indicate the % change in the extent of wetlands over the last three years. If the period of data covers more than three years, countries provide the available information, and indicate the period of the change. For reporting such change, the previous extent, if known, and the period over which the change has taken place should be specified.

This indicator can be aggregated to global or regional level by adding all country values globally or in a specific region.

#### 4.d. Validation (DATA\_VALIDATION)

---

The Convention contributes to monitoring progress of Indicator 6.6.1 with data from National Reports on extent of wetlands, based on the Convention's definitions and requirements for reporting. State Parties to the Convention report to the Secretariat every three years that is the cycle of the Convention. The data submitted by the State Parties on their National Reports on Indicator 6.6.1 are review by the Secretariat and Focal Points of the State Parties are contacted in case clarifications are necessary. Once the clarifications are made, the data are submitted to the SDGs Indicators Database.

#### 4.e. Adjustments (ADJUSTMENT)

---

As indicated in item 2.c, the international standard classification being used is the Ramsar Classification System for Wetland Types, adopted at the Fourth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Wetlands (COP4) in 1990.

When reporting on the SDGs data, we use the regional aggregates according to the "SDG regional groupings for compliance with SDG processes.

#### 4.f. Treatment of missing values (i) at country level and (ii) at regional level (IMPUTATION)

---

- **At country level**

For countries where no information on wetland inventories was provided to the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands Secretariat as part of their National Reports to COP13 (16% of countries) a report is in preparation by the Ramsar Secretariat using existing information from previous assessments and literature search. The reports are shared with the concerned countries in order to comment and make any adjustment complementation to the data.

- **At regional and global levels**

As indicated above

#### 4.g. Regional aggregations (REG\_AGG)

---

Since information is available for all countries, regional and global estimates are produced by summation.

#### 4.h. Methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level (DOC\_METHOD)

---

Countries under the Ramsar Convention provide all data in the form of a country report following a standard format approved by the Standing Committee, which includes the original data and reference of wetland inventories as the main source of information.

Detailed methodology and guidance on how to provide the data on extent for indicator 6.6.1 in their National Reports and to use Ramsar definition and classification is found in the document "Guidance on information on national wetland extent, is provided in Target 8 National Wetlands Inventory of the Ramsar National Report for COP13 and COP14".

The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands has taken many steps to ensure the wise use and conservation of wetlands globally. This has included the development and promotion of guidance and best practice tools for the inventory, assessment and monitoring of change in wetlands with emphasis in recent years on the application of an increasing number of satellite-based remote sensing approaches (Davidson & Finlayson 2007; Mackay et al. 2009; Ramsar Secretariat 2010a). This has become necessary as there is an increasing demand for information that can be readily used by wetland managers to help stem the ongoing loss and degradation of wetlands.

The utility of different remote sensing datasets for wetland inventory, monitoring and assessment is well established, through the provision of site based (Land Use Land Cover (LULC)) maps characterising an ecosystem, to the analysis of time series data (remote sensing datasets collected consistently over a particular time period) to determine changes.

The availability and accessibility of EO datasets suitable for addressing the information needs of the Ramsar Convention and wetland practitioners has increased dramatically in the recent past; increasing capabilities in terms of spatial, temporal and spectral resolution of the data have enabled more efficient and reliable monitoring of the environment over time at global, regional and local scales.

The Scientific and Technical Review Panel of the Convention has produced a Ramsar Technical Report on “Best practice guidelines for the use of Earth Observation for wetland inventory, assessment and monitoring: An information source for wetland managers provided by the Ramsar Convention for Wetlands”. The Ramsar Convention and EO based approaches build on those previously undertaken on the use of EO technologies for implementation of the Convention (Ramsar, 2002; Davidson & Finlayson, 2007; Mackay et al., 2009) and are placed within the conceptualisation of wetland inventory, assessment and monitoring that were incorporated into the IF-WIAM (Ramsar Secretariat, 2010b).

The purpose of the report is to provide an overview of the application of EO technologies to inform wetland managers and practitioners, and stakeholders, including those from related sectors, such as protected area managers and wetland education centre staff (Ramsar Convention, 2015) about “best practice” use of EO technologies, taking into account requirements and recommendations from the Convention.

EO provides an effective means for periodic mapping and monitoring over regional to global scales. It should, however, not be expected that global datasets, can achieve the same high level of accuracy everywhere as a local scale map derived through ground surveys and the use of finer resolution (aerial, drones) geospatial data.

Although mapping of land cover and land uses are one of the most common uses of EO data, there are still challenges in assessing the current status and changes in wetlands over time. Monitoring historical trends and changing patterns of wetlands is complicated by the lack of medium to high-resolution data in particular prior to 2000.

Despite the ever-expanding data archives, improving quality and increasing suitability of EO data for wetland inventory, monitoring and assessment, it is important to note that “ground-truthing” or field-based assessments and validation are still a vital component of any work involving EO data, whose occasional omission may still lead to problematic results.

Ramsar partners such as Jaxa and ESA have conducted pilot projects that provide geospatial information to provide changes to Ramsar, national wetland practitioners, decision makers, and NGOs.

Wetland inventory provides the basis for guiding the development of appropriate assessment and monitoring, and is used to collect information to describe the ecological character of wetlands including that used to support the listing of Ramsar sites, as recorded in the Ramsar Information Sheet (Ramsar Secretariat, 2012), assessment considers the pressures and associated risks of adverse change in ecological character; and monitoring, which can include both survey and surveillance, provides information on the extent of any change that occurs as a consequence of management actions.

Under the Convention, multiple guidelines have been developed to support countries to complete national wetland inventories (NWIs) including the use of metadata (Some of these guidelines are mentioned below). More recently in 2020, the Secretariat prepared a toolkit on wetlands inventory to assist Contracting Parties to implement or update a NWI. The aim of the toolkit is to provide practical guidance and examples of how to implement an NWI, including a step-by-step process and resources to support each recommendation. Good practices and examples on the areas of carrying out and updating NWIs, inventory methods, data collection, Earth observation and use of wetland inventories in decision-making are provided. Examples that illustrate how to solve the challenges faced by Contracting Parties are also included. The toolkit includes an introduction linking NWIs to SDG targets and expounding on the importance of an NWI for decision-making, including suggestions for building the case for supporting and protecting wetlands.

The Secretariat is using the toolkit as a central resource for the development of training materials, webinars and other training opportunities for Contracting Parties.

### **Ramsar Guidelines**

A new toolkit for National Wetlands Inventories

[https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/nwi\\_toolkit\\_2020\\_e.pdf](https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/nwi_toolkit_2020_e.pdf)

Handbook 15 Wetland Inventory. Ramsar Secretariat 2010a.

<https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/hbk4-15.pdf>

Ramsar Handbooks: Handbook 13 Inventory, assessment and monitoring. Ramsar Secretariat 2010b

<https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/hbk4-13.pdf>

Ramsar Technical Report 2 Low-cost GIS software and data for wetland inventory, assessment & monitoring.

[https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/lib\\_rtr02.pdf](https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/lib_rtr02.pdf)

[Ramsar Technical Report 4: A Framework for a wetland inventory metadatabase.](#)

[https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/lib\\_rtr04.pdf](https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/lib_rtr04.pdf)

Ramsar (2002). The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, The 8th Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Wetlands, Valencia, Spain, 18-26 November 2002, COP8 DOC. 35, The use of Earth Observation technology to support the implementation of the Ramsar Convention,

[http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/cop8/cop8\\_doc\\_35\\_e.pdf](http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/cop8/cop8_doc_35_e.pdf)

Resolution VIII.6 A Ramsar Framework for Wetland Inventory

<http://www.ramsar.org/document/resolution-viii6-a-ramsar-framework-for-wetland-inventory>

Resolution VI.12 National Wetland Inventories and candidate sites for listing

[http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/res/key\\_res\\_vi.12e.pdf](http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/res/key_res_vi.12e.pdf)

Resolution VII.20 Priorities for wetland inventory

[http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/key\\_res\\_vii.20e.pdf](http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/key_res_vii.20e.pdf)

Resolution IX.1 Additional scientific and technical guidance for implementing the Ramsar wise use concept Annex E. An Integrated Framework for wetland inventory assessment and monitoring

[http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/res/key\\_res\\_ix\\_01\\_annexe\\_e.pdf](http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/res/key_res_ix_01_annexe_e.pdf)

Resolution X.15 Describing the ecological character of wetlands and data needs and formats for core inventory: harmonized scientific and technical guidance

[http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/res/key\\_res\\_x\\_15\\_e.pdf](http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/res/key_res_x_15_e.pdf)

[Ramsar Technical Report 10: The use of Earth Observation for wetland inventory, assessment and monitoring | Ramsar](#)

The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. (2011). The 11th Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Wetlands, Bucharest, Romania, 6-13 July, 2012. Resolution XI.8, Annex 2: Strategic Framework and guidelines for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance of the Convention on Wetlands (Ramsar, Iran, 1971) – 2012 revision.

[http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/cop11-res08-e-anx2\\_revcop13.pdf](http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/library/cop11-res08-e-anx2_revcop13.pdf)

Davidson, N.C. & Finlayson, C.M. (2007). Earth Observation for wetland inventory, assessment and monitoring. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 17, 219-228.

[Earth Observation for wetland inventory, assessment and monitoring | N.C. Davidson; C.M. Finlayson | download \(booksc.org\)](#)

MacKay, H., Finlayson, C.M., Fernández-Prieto, D., Davidson, N., Pritchard, D. & Rebelo, L.-M. (2009). The role of Earth Observation (EO) technologies in supporting implementation of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 90(7), 2234-2242.

[The role of Earth Observation \(EO\) technologies in supporting implementation of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands | H. MacKay; C.M. Finlayson; D. Fernández-Prieto; N. Davidson; D. Pritchard; L.-M. Rebelo | download \(booksc.org\)](#)

#### 4.i. Quality management (QUALITY\_MGMNT)

---

At the 52nd meeting of the Standing Committee (SC52) in 2016, Contracting Parties of the Convention on Wetlands approved the inclusion of an indicator on wetland extent in the National Report to COP13. The Secretariat provides guidance and training to Contracting Parties for the submission of National Reports to COP13/COP14 and developed a toolkit and training on wetlands inventories to enable them to provide data that could be used for SDG Indicator 6.6.1 reporting. The Secretariat also works with Parties to complete and refine information on extent that has been submitted to the Secretariat and to identify information that is available in existing inventories referred in National Reports, that has not been used to report on wetland extent. Through this mechanism, national validated data using accepted international definitions of wetlands are provided to measure the extent of water-related ecosystems under SDG 6.

#### 4.j Quality assurance (QUALITY\_ASSURE)

---

Once received, the country reports undergo a rigorous review process to ensure correct use of definitions and methodology as well as internal consistency. A comparison is made with past information and other existing data sources. Regular contacts between national correspondents and Ramsar Staff by e-mail and webinars/regional/sub-regional review workshops form part of this review process in order to support country capacities in particular for monitoring purposes.

Missing reports prepared by the Ramsar Secretariat for Indicator 6.6.1 are sent to the respective Ramsar Administrative Authority for validation before finalization and publishing of data. The data are then aggregated at sub-regional, regional and global levels by the Ramsar Secretariat team.

#### 4.k Quality assessment (QUALITY\_ASSMNT)

---

Refinement of data includes reporting on wetland type using the two main categories in the Ramsar classification: inland and human-made wetlands. Through this mechanism, national validated data using accepted international definitions of wetlands under the Convention are provided to measure the extent of water-related ecosystems under SDG 6.

### 5. Data availability and disaggregation (COVERAGE)

---

#### **Data availability:**

Data are available for all countries (143) that submitted National Reports for COP13 as well as for previous COPs as indicated below. The data collected include information on wetland inventories and extent. For the missing country data (16%) as indicated in the “Quality assurance section”, the Secretariat will prepare in 2018 reports with the available source of information for Indicator 6.6.1 that will be sent to the respective Ramsar Administrative Authorities for validation. The gaps of information will be addressed during 2018 and 2019 to fully report in late 2020.

#### **Time series:**

The Secretariat holds National Report information from COP8 (2002), COP9 (2005), COP10 (2008), COP11 (2012), COP12 (2015) and COP13 (2018) National Reports, in databases which permit an analysis of trends in implementation over time, from the 2002-2005 triennium to 2012-2015 that includes specific indicators such as wetland inventories. However, for wetland extent, the data collection has started in 2018. Contracting Parties report in two main categories in the Ramsar classification: inland and human-made wetlands.

#### **Disaggregation:**

No further disaggregation of this indicator

### 6. Comparability / deviation from international standards (COMPARABILITY)

---

The national figures are reported by the countries themselves following standardized format for the National Reports for the COPs that included definitions and reporting years, thus eliminating any discrepancies between global and national figures. The reporting format ensures that countries provide the full reference for original data sources as well as national definitions and terminology.

## 7. References and Documentation (OTHER\_DOC)

References and links are provided in the section of methods and guidance available to countries for the compilation of the data at the national level.

### Annex 1 Ramsar Wetland Classification

The codes are based upon the Ramsar Classification System for Wetland Types, as approved by the Conference of the Contracting Parties in Recommendation 4.7 and amended by Resolutions VI.5 and VII.11.

To assist in identification of the correct Wetland Types, the Secretariat has provided below tabulations of some of the characteristics of each Wetland Type, for Marine/Coastal Wetlands and Inland Wetlands.

#### Marine/Coastal Wetlands

- A -- **Permanent shallow marine waters** in most cases less than six metres deep at low tide; includes sea bays and straits.
- B -- **Marine subtidal aquatic beds**; includes kelp beds, sea-grass beds, tropical marine meadows.
- C -- **Coral reefs**.
- D -- **Rocky marine shores**; includes rocky offshore islands, sea cliffs.
- E -- **Sand, shingle or pebble shores**; includes sand bars, spits and sandy islets; includes dune systems and humid dune slacks.
- F -- **Estuarine waters**; permanent water of estuaries and estuarine systems of deltas.
- G -- **Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats**.
- H -- **Intertidal marshes**; includes salt marshes, salt meadows, saltings, raised salt marshes; includes tidal brackish and freshwater marshes.
- I -- **Intertidal forested wetlands**; includes mangrove swamps, nipah swamps and tidal freshwater swamp forests.
- J -- **Coastal brackish/saline lagoons**; brackish to saline lagoons with at least one relatively narrow connection to the sea.
- K -- **Coastal freshwater lagoons**; includes freshwater delta lagoons.
- Zk(a) – **Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems**, marine/coastal

**Table 2: Tabulations of Wetland Type characteristics, Marine / Coastal Wetlands:**

Saline water	Permanent	< 6 m deep	A
		Underwater vegetation	B
		Coral reefs	C
	Shores	Rocky	D
		Sand, shingle or pebble	E
Saline or brackish water	Intertidal	Flats (mud, sand or salt)	G
		Marshes	H
		Forested	I
	Lagoons	J	
	Estuarine waters	F	
Saline, brackish or fresh water	Subterranean		Zk(a)
Fresh water	Lagoons		K

## Inland Wetlands

- L -- **Permanent inland deltas.**
- M -- **Permanent rivers/streams/creeks;** includes waterfalls.
- N -- **Seasonal/intermittent/irregular rivers/streams/creeks.**
- O -- **Permanent freshwater lakes** (over 8 ha); includes large oxbow lakes.
- P -- **Seasonal/intermittent freshwater lakes** (over 8 ha); includes floodplain lakes.
- Q -- **Permanent saline/brackish/alkaline lakes.**
- R -- **Seasonal/intermittent saline/brackish/alkaline lakes and flats.**
- Sp -- **Permanent saline/brackish/alkaline marshes/pools.**
- Ss -- **Seasonal/intermittent saline/brackish/alkaline marshes/pools.**
- Tp -- **Permanent freshwater marshes/pools;** ponds (below 8 ha), marshes and swamps on inorganic soils; with emergent vegetation water-logged for at least most of the growing season.
- Ts -- **Seasonal/intermittent freshwater marshes/pools on inorganic soils;** includes sloughs, potholes, seasonally flooded meadows, sedge marshes.
- U -- **Non-forested peatlands;** includes shrub or open bogs, swamps, fens.
- Va -- **Alpine wetlands;** includes alpine meadows, temporary waters from snowmelt.
- Vt -- **Tundra wetlands;** includes tundra pools, temporary waters from snowmelt.
- W -- **Shrub-dominated wetlands;** includes shrub swamps, shrub-dominated freshwater marshes, shrub carr, alder thicket on inorganic soils.
- Xf -- **Freshwater, tree-dominated wetlands;** includes freshwater swamp forests, seasonally flooded forests, wooded swamps on inorganic soils.
- Xp -- **Forested peatlands;** peat swamp forests.
- Y -- **Freshwater springs; oases.**
- Zg -- **Geothermal wetlands.**
- Zk(b) – **Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems, inland.**

**Note:** “**floodplain**” is a broad term used to refer to one or more wetland types, which may include examples from the R, Ss, Ts, W, Xf, Xp, or other wetland types. Some examples of floodplain wetlands are seasonally inundated grassland (including natural wet meadows), shrublands, woodlands and forests. Floodplain wetlands are not listed as a specific wetland type herein.

**Table 3: Tabulations of Wetland Type characteristics, Inland Wetlands:**

Fresh water	Flowing water	Permanent	Rivers, streams, creeks	M
			Deltas	L
			Springs, oases	Y
	Lakes and pools	Seasonal/intermittent	Rivers, streams, creeks	N
			> 8 ha	O
		Permanent	< 8 ha	Tp
			Seasonal/intermittent	> 8 ha
	Marshes on inorganic soils	Permanent	< 8 ha	Ts
			Herb-dominated	Tp
			Shrub-dominated	W
		Permanent/ Seasonal/intermittent	Tree-dominated	Xf
			Herb-dominated	Ts
	Marshes on peat soils	Permanent	Non-forested	U
			Forested	Xp
		High altitude (alpine)	Va	

	Marshes on inorganic or peat soils	Tundra	Vt
Saline, brackish or alkaline water	Lakes	Permanent	Q
		Seasonal/intermittent	R
	Marshes & pools	Permanent	Sp
		Seasonal/intermittent	Ss
Fresh, saline, brackish or alkaline water	Geothermal		Zg
	Subterranean		Zk(b)

### Human-made wetlands

- 1 -- **Aquaculture** (e.g. fish/shrimp) **ponds**.
  - 2 -- **Ponds**; includes farm ponds, stock ponds, small tanks (generally below 8 ha).
  - 3 -- **Irrigated land**; includes irrigation channels and rice fields.
  - 4 -- **Seasonally flooded agricultural land** (including intensively managed or grazed wet meadow or pasture).
  - 5 -- **Salt exploitation sites**; salt pans, salines, etc.
  - 6 -- **Water storage areas**; reservoirs/barrages/dams/impoundments (generally over 8 ha).
  - 7 -- **Excavations**; gravel/brick/clay pits; borrow pits, mining pools.
  - 8 -- **Wastewater treatment areas**; sewage farms, settling ponds, oxidation basins, etc.
  - 9 -- **Canals and drainage channels, ditches**.
- Zk(c) – **Karst and other subterranean hydrological systems**, human-made